

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket	No. 147268.00261	
Group Art Unit:	2153	RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. SECTION 1.137(b)
Examiner:	W. Benson	
Inventors:	Huang et al.	
Serial No.:	09/240,833	
Filed:	February 1, 1999	
For:	IP ROUTING LOOKUP SCHEME AND SYSTEM FOR MULTI-GIGABIT SWITCHING ROUTERS	RECEIVED
) MAY 0 1 2002
		OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Hon. Commissioner of Patents And Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents, Box DAC, Washington, D.C. 20231 on April 22, 2002.

Ву______

Sir:

In the Decision on Petition Under 37 C.F.R. Section 1.137(b) to revive the above-identified patent application mailed February 21, 2002 (copy

1

enclosed) to which a response is due by April 22, 2002 (April 21, 2002, being a Sunday), the Petitions Examiner stated that a required reply was not submitted and requested that such reply be filed. In response, Applicants submit the enclosed Responsive Paper-A.

Reconsideration and favorable decision is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas T. Moga Registration No. 34,881 Attorney for Applicants

POWELL, GOLDSTEIN, FRAZER & MURPHY, LLP P.O. Box 97233 Washington, D.C. 20090-7223 202-624-3947

Dated: April 22, 2002

TTM/hs

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1477268.00261
Request-for Reconcideration
DUE: 3/201002 4/21/02 (2007) 1217

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 8

THOMAS T MOGA POWELL GOLDSTEIN FRAZER & MURPHY LLP PO BOX 97223 WASHINGTON DC 20009

COPY MAILED

FEB 2 1 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Huang, et al. Application No. 09/240,833 Filed: February 1, 1999 Attorney Docket No. 147268.00261



This is a decision on the petition under $37\ \text{CFR}\ 1.137\text{(b)}$, filed January 18, 2002, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

.....

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." This is **not** a final agency decision within the meaning of 5 USC 704.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to timely file a reply to the non-final Office action mailed January 30, 2001, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on May 1, 2001. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on September 25, 2001.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required by 37 CFR 1.137(d).

The instant petition lacks item (1), the required reply. Petitioner has failed to submit a reply to the non-final Office action mailed January 30, 2001.

A copy of the January 30, 2001 Office action is enclosed for petitioner's convenience. $\dot{}$

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and

RECEIVED

MAY 0 1 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

(P) total objection

circumstances of such delay. In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137 (b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Commissioner for Patents

Box DAC

Washington, D.C. 20231

By FAX:

(703) 308-6916 Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

Office of Petitions

2201 South Clark Place Crystal Plaza 4, Suite 3C23 Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Petitions Attorney Cliff Congo at (703) 305-0272.

anagan

Supervisory Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy

Cliff Congo

Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy

Enc: Office action, mailed January 30, 2001 (6 pages)

RECEIVED

MAY 0 1 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997).